## Likelihood: 1 - Almost Impossible, 2 - Unlikely, 3 - Possible, 4 - Likely, 5 - Almost Certain

Impact: 1 – Insignificant, 2 – Minor, 3 – Moderate, 4 – Major, 5 – Catastrophic (or Fantastic, if an opportunity)

15 – 25 Red	High. Immediate action required & escalate to management level above		8-14 Amber		Significant. Review & ensure effective controls		4-7 Yellow		Moderate (option to TOLERATE) Monitor if risk levels increase TOLERATE?			1-3 Green	Low (d to TOLE! Monito Period	RATE) or		
Risk No.	Risk Description & Proximity	Potential Consequences	Initial Likelihood (L) Risk Score (see header)	Initial Impact (I) Risk Score (see header)	Controls	Likeliho	Revised Impact (I) Risk Score (see header)	Revised Risk Score (Multiply L x I)	action	8-14 Amber his risk wa ('Solution: Risk Revi	s')? Be gi	uided	Target Date	Responsible Officer	Date Added	Date Updated
1	Financial Resources insufficient to implement and operate the FO service. Linked to Risk no. 2	Reputational damage, poor customer service and not managing expectations. Manager post currently a one year fixed term contract. £0.050m to fund this post for 2019/20 still needs to be identified Manager is crucial link between services, communities and FO; responsible for monitoring work escalation and delivering benefits. An additional service pressure of £0.109m for	3	5	As part of the ongoing review of budgets and service delivery, work is taking place to understand where this resource can be identified in both NCH and EEC Directorates.  Currently there is an underspend of £0.150m due to the delayed recruitment.	3	4	12					Ongoing	AS	18.4.17	02/11/2018

Work with services in scope to optimise opportunities in relation to future income generation using a programme of champion workshops where services and Field Officers work closely together to problem solve, manage workflow and service delivery. Having an ongoing training plan that ensures close working between FO and specialists and makes effective use of	
working between FO and specialists and	
resources. Implementation of a phased programme of	
review to ensure effective service delivery, in accordance	
with the programme's objectives.	

chang out of noise	e patrol – ge to how f hours services elivered	Health & Safety risks to frontline staff and subsequent corporate risk. Reputational damage and not managing customer needs. Noise complaints between 8pm and midday not routinely responded to	3	3	Staff risk assessments in place and routinely reviewed to reflect changes to the night time economy and increasing risks to staff associated with this. FO to follow up late night noise complaints the following day with a	2	2	4						
		notices cannot be witnessed by FO during this time. Noise complaints may escalate if immediate intervention is delayed. There maybe confusion and uncertainty how out of hours noise services are delivered prior to December 2018 when the role is fully launched			stop complaints escalating. FO to be on annualised contracts and thereby enable flexibility around hours worked. This will include opportunity for joint visits with colleagues and Police Staff in EP team to continue working flexibly out of hours to respond to ongoing complaints and to work to revised risk assessments and working protocols, including option to use security company. Continue use of digital noise recording equipment to gather evidence and enable notice abatement notices to be served. Continue to develop partnership working						December 2018	AS	27/09/2017	02/11/2018

		with Police to witness noise from persistent offenders. Effectively communicate changes.						

4	Ensuring effective technology and access to it	Lack of mobile technology and associated functionality will prevent fast effective enforcement action and service delivery. Slow down workflow and referrals. Reputational damage that does not meet customer and service needs and prevents effective communication with stakeholders. Digital technology will not be able to deliver solutions to all 9 services by December 2018. Not all services having access to UNIFORM enterprise workflow, and service request functions to enable fast effect workflow and	5	4	Programme Board fortnightly meetings with ICT and DF to collaborate on procurement and delivery. Revised IT&D Programme Plan with prioritised functions. Ensure that this plan is routinely reviewed and any implementation issues escalated. FO to be given access to PCs while the tablets are being implemented and functionality and synchronicity reviewed. Roles and responsibilities of IT &D have been reviewed and introduction of workshops relating to culture change and facilitate a shift to this new technology and	3	3	9	Ongoing	AS	27/09/2017	02/11/2018
		effect workflow and information sharing			new technology and cross working.							
5	Limited Capacity for Out of Hours Management	Lack of cover when Field Officer Manager not available. Health and Safety risk for Field Officers impacting on the teams duty of care.	4	4	Created a duty officer for each shift using a model currently practised by Carelink Services. Following consultation with Executive Leadership Team a modernisation project is going to Modernisation Board in the new year to look corporate risk and	3	3	9	Ongoing	AS	18.4.17	02/11/18

					resilience in relation to out of hours service delivery.							
6	Fail to share information across partners and communities	Service delivery not as effective resulting in poor communication, possible duplication and not achieving the programmes' benefits. Fail to realise service improvement Fail to effectively share resources.	3	3	Regular champion workshops where services, field officers, partners and community representatives share information and review service delivery, workflow procedures, and problem solve. Ongoing shadowing and training with partner agencies and communities.	2	2	4	31.10.17	AS	18.4.17	02/11/2018
7	Disproportionate amount of time spent on admin tasks	Reduced capacity to meet objectives: delivery and monitoring including duplication of work due to lack of digital solutions. Additional work for back office admin – reducing time to visit residents	5	4	Ongoing IT&D and work to improve/streamline systems Communication with services see RISK 4	3	3	9	31.10.17	AS	18.4.17	02/11/2018
8	Challenge of bringing about cultural change	Change not embedded Cross service working not effective and silo working remains. Workflow across teams restricted Poor service delivery	4	4	Launch of new change workshops Ensure effective communications to all staff and unions	3	3	9	Ongoing	AS	18.4.17	02/11/2018

9	Conflict over	Reputational damage,	4	3	Strong operational	3	2	6						
	stakeholder	poor customer service			leadership. Regular									
	priorities and	and not managing			joint intelligence									
	strategies	expectations			meetings with external						_		7	∞
					partners, including						ing		201	201
					opportunities around						g	AS	6/2	1,2
					co-location and joint						S		2/0	7
					working. Developing								2	02/
					community									
					collaboration and									
					enabling communities.									